IN THE CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1	1.	A computer software product including one or more recordable media having
2		executable instructions stored thereon which, when executed by a processing
3		device, causes the processing device to:
4		strengthen a first antecedent label for an edge in an assertion graph.
1	2.	The computer software product recited in Claim 1 which, when executed by a
2		processing device, further causes the processing device to:
3		abstract a second antecedent label to produce the first antecedent label.
1	3.	The computer software product recited in Claim 1 wherein strengthening the
2		antecedent label comprises causing the processing device to:
3		join any pre-images for antecedent labels of outgoing edges from the
4		edge in the assertion graph; and
5		keep in the strengthened antecedent label for the edge only what is
6		already contained by the first antecedent label for the edge and also
7		contained by the joined pre-images for antecedent labels of outgoing edges
8		from the edge.
1	4.	The computer software product recited in Claim 1 which, when executed by a
2		processing device, further causes the processing device to:
3		compute a simulation relation for the edge from the strengthened
4		antecedent label; and

- 5 compare the simulation relation for the edge to a consequence label for 6 the edge.
- 5. The computer software product recited in Claim 4 wherein computing the
 simulation relation comprises causing the processing device to:
- identify in the strengthened antecedent label of the edge any states that are also contained by a post-image for a simulation relation of an edge incoming to the edge in the assertion graph; and
- 6 join to the simulation relation for the edge, the identified states.
- The computer software product recited in Claim 4 wherein comparing the
 simulation relation to a consequence label comprises causing the processing
 device to:
- determine whether the simulation relation for the edge is contained by the consequence label for the edge.
- The computer software product recited in Claim 4 wherein comparing the
 simulation relation to a consequence label comprises causing the processing
 device to:
- 4 negate a Boolean expression of the simulation relation for the edge, and:
- logically combine the negated Boolean expression with a Boolean expression of the consequence label for the edge using a logical OR operation.
- The computer software product recited in Claim 4 wherein computing a
 simulation relation for the edge from the strengthened antecedent label
 comprises causing the processing device to:

- compute a simulation relation abstraction for the edge; and
 concretize the simulation relation abstraction for the edge to produce the
- The computer software product recited in Claim 8 wherein computing a
 simulation relation for the edge from the strengthened antecedent label
 further comprises causing the processing device to:
- abstract the strengthened antecedent label to produce an antecedent
 label abstraction for the edge; and
- use the antecedent label abstraction to compute the simulation relation
 abstraction for the edge.
- 1 10.A method comprising:

simulation relation for the edge.

6

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

- 2 strengthening a first antecedent label for an edge in an assertion graph;
- 1 11. The method recited in Claim 10 wherein strengthening the antecedent labelcomprises:
- joining pre-images of antecedent labels of any outgoing edges from the
 edge in the assertion graph; and
 - keeping, in the strengthened antecedent label for the edge, states already contained by the first antecedent label for the edge and also contained by the joined pre-images of antecedent labels of any outgoing edges from the edge.
 - 12. The method recited in Claim 10 wherein the first antecedent label is one of a plurality of antecedent labels including a second antecedent label encoded along with the first antecedent label into a third antecedent label by a symbolic indexing function.

- 1 13. The method recited in Claim 10 further comprising:
- 2 computing a simulation relation for the edge from the strengthened
- 3 antecedent label; and
- 4 comparing the simulation relation for the edge to a consequence label for
- 5 the edge.
- 1 14. The method recited in Claim 13 wherein comparing the simulation relation to
- 2 a consequence label comprises:
- determining whether the simulation relation for the edge is contained by
- 4 the consequence label for the edge.
- 1 15. The method recited in Claim 13 wherein comparing the simulation relation to
- 2 a consequence label comprises:
- 3 negating a Boolean expression of the simulation relation for the edge,
- 4 and:
- 5 logically combining the negated Boolean expression with a Boolean
- 6 expression of the consequence label for the edge using a logical OR
- 7 operation.
- 1 16. A method comprising:
- 2 computing a first simulation relation for an edge in a first assertion graph
- 3 from a first antecedent label for the edge;
- 4 computing a second simulation relation for the edge from a concretization
- 5 function applied to the first simulation relation for the edge; and
- 6 comparing the second simulation relation for the edge with a

- consequence label for a corresponding edge in a second assertion graph to
 see if the second simulation relation is contained by the consequence label.
- 1 17. The method recited in Claim 16 further comprising:
- computing the first antecedent label as an abstraction of a second
 antecedent label for the corresponding edge in the second assertion graph.
- 1 18. The method recited in Claim 17 further comprising:
- computing the second antecedent label by strengthening a third
 antecedent label for the edge in the second assertion graph.
- 1 19. The method recited in Claim 16 further comprising:
- 2 computing a third antecedent label for the edge in the first assertion graph
- as an abstraction of a second antecedent label for the corresponding edge in
- 4 the second assertion graph; and
- computing the first antecedent label by strengthening the third antecedent label for the edge in the first assertion graph.
- 1 20. A verification system comprising:
- 2 means for strengthening an first antecedent label for an edge in an 3 assertion graph;
- 21. The verification system of Claim 20 wherein the means for strengthening the
 antecedent label comprises:
- means for joining any pre-images for antecedent labels of outgoing edges
- from the edge in the assertion graph; and
- 5 means for keeping, in the strengthened antecedent label for the edge,
- 6 states already contained by the first antecedent label for the edge and also

-45-

- 7 contained by the joined pre-images for antecedent labels of outgoing edges
- 8 from the edge.
- 1 22. The verification system of Claim 20 wherein the first antecedent label is one
- of a plurality of antecedent labels including a second antecedent label
- 3 encoded along with the first antecedent label into a third antecedent label by
- 4 a symbolic indexing function.
- 1 23. The verification system of Claim 20 further comprising:
- 2 means for computing a simulation relation for the edge from the
- 3 strengthened antecedent label; and
- 4 means for comparing the second simulation relation for the edge with a
- 5 consequence label for a corresponding edge in a second assertion graph to
- 6 check if the second simulation relation is contained by the consequence
- 7 label.
- 1 24. The verification system of Claim 23 wherein the means for comparing the
- 2 simulation relation to a consequence label comprises:
- means for determining whether the simulation relation for the edge is
- 4 contained by the consequence label for the edge.
- 1 25. A verification system comprising:
- 2 means for computing a first simulation relation for an edge in a first
- assertion graph from a first antecedent label for the edge;
- 4 means for computing a second simulation relation for the edge from a
- 5 concretization function applied to the first simulation relation for the edge; and
- 6 means for comparing the second simulation relation for the edge with a

- 7 consequence label for a corresponding edge in a second assertion graph to
- 8 see if the second simulation relation is contained by the consequence label.
- 1 26. The verification system of Claim 26 further comprising:
- 2 means for computing the first antecedent label as an abstraction of a 3 second antecedent label for the corresponding edge in the second assertion
- 4 graph.
- 1 27. The verification system of Claim 27 further comprising:
- 2 means for computing the second antecedent label by strengthening a third 3 antecedent label for the edge in the second assertion graph.
- 1 28. The verification system of Claim 26 further comprising:
- 2 means for computing a third antecedent label for the edge in the first
- assertion graph as an abstraction of a second antecedent label for the
- 4 corresponding edge in the second assertion graph; and
- 5 means for computing the first antecedent label by strengthening the third
- 6 antecedent label for the edge in the first assertion graph.
- 1 29.A verification system comprising:
- 2 a recordable medium to store executable instructions:
- a processing device to execute instructions; and
- 4 a plurality of executable instructions that when executed by the processing
- 5 device, cause the processing device to strengthen a antecedent label for an
- 6 edge in an assertion graph.
- 1 30. The verification system of Claim 4 wherein the plurality of executable
- 2 instructions, when executed by the processing device, further cause the

- 3 processing device to:
- 4 compute a first simulation relation for the edge; and
- 5 concretize the first simulation relation computed for the edge to produce a
- 6 second simulation relation for the edge.